IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P.R.RAMAN
&
THE HONOURABLE MRS. JUSTICE K.HEMA

FRIDAY, THE 27TH JULY 2007 / 5TH SRAVANA 1929

WP(Crl.).No. 181 OF 2007(S)

PETITIONER:

MANIKANDAN.B @ CHUNJU,
AGED 29 YEARS, S/O BALASUBRAMANIAN T.P.,
MANI SADAN, SHORANUR-2, KULAPULLY P.O.,
OTTAPALAM TALUK, PALAKKAD DISTRICT.

BY ADV. SRI.PEEYUS A.KOTTAM

RESPONDENTS:
---

1. DEPUTY SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE,
SUB DIVISIONAL POLICE OFFICE,
SHORANUR, PALAKKAD.

2. SBU INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
SHORANUR, POLICE STATION, SHORANUR, PALAKKAD.

3. M.N.BALA RAVI, AGED ABOUT 55 YEARS,
MANGATTU HOUSE, MANJAKKAD, SHORANUR P.O.,
PALAKKAD.

4. SASIKALA, AGED 47 YRARS,
W/O.M.N.BALA RAVI, MANGATTU HOUSE,
MANJAKKAD, SHORANUR P.O., PALAKKAD.

GOVT. PLEADER SRI. K.C. SANTHOSH KUMAR.

THIS WRIT PETITION (CRIMINAL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 27/07/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

WP(CRL) 181/2007

PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS:

EXT.P1	TRUE COPY OF THE PHOTOGRAPH SHOWING THE PETITIONER, REMY M.B. AND PARENTS.
EXT. P2(a)	TRUE COPY OF THE PHOTOGRAPH SHOWING THE PETITIONER AND REMYA M.B.
EXT.P2(b)	TRUE COPY OF THE PHOTOGRAPH SHOWING THE PETITIOENR AND REMYA M.B.
EXT.P2(c)	TRUE COPY OF THE PHOTOGRAPH SHOWING THE PETITIONER AND REMYA M.B.
EXT.P2(d)	TRUE COPY OF THE PHOTOGRAPH SHOWING THE PETITIONER AND REMYA M.B.
EXT.P3	TRUE COPY OF THE LETTER ISSUED BY THE REMYA M.B. TO THE PETITIONER.
EXT.P4	TRUE COPY OF THE RECEIPT SHOWING PAYMENT OF FEE FOR BOOKING THE HALL FOR CONDUCTING THE RECEPTION OF THE MARRIAGE BETWEEN PETITIONER AND REMYA M.B. DATED 12.6.2007.
EXT.P5	TRUE COPY OF THE INVITATION CARD PRINTED IN CONNECTION WITH THE MARRIAGE OF THE PETITIONER WITH REMYA M.B.

RESPONDENTS' EXHIBITS:

NIL.

// TRUE COPY //

P.S. TO JUDGE.

KNC/-

P.R. RAMAN & K. HEMA, JJ.

= = = = = = = = = = = = = =

W.P.(CRL) NO. 181 OF 2007

= = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =

DATED THIS, THE 27TH DAY OF JULY, 2007.

J U D G M E N T

Raman, J.

This is a Habeas Corpus writ petition alleging that one Remya M.B. is under the illegal custody of Respondents 3 and 4 who are none other than her parents. Remya appeared along with her parents. We interacted with her and also with Respondents 3 and 4 as well as the petitioner and his parents. Based on such interaction with the alleged detenue and the parties, we have no doubt in our mind that Remya is not under the illegal custody of her parents, Respondents 3 and 4, as alleged.

The writ petition is closed.

P.R. RAMAN,
(JUDGE)

K. HEMA,
(JUDGE)

knc/-